arXiv:1601.01711v1 [math.RA] 7 Jan 2016

Generalized Schur algebras

Robert May
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Longwood University
201 High Street, Farmville, Va. 23909
rmay@longwood.edu

05/10/2014

1 Introduction

In [3] and [1] "generalized Schur algebras", $B(n,r)$, were defined corresponding to a family of monoids S_r which includes the full transformation semigroup $\tau_r = \mathcal{T}_r$, the partial transformation semigroup $\bar{\tau}_r = \mathcal{PT}_r$, and the rook monoid $\Re_r$ of size r. In many cases a parameterization of the irreducible representations of the algebra $B(n,r)$ was obtained. In [2] these algebras were redefined as the "right generalized Schur algebras" , B_R, corresponding to certain "double coset algebras". Corresponding "left generalized Schur algebras" , B_L, were also defined in [2]. In this paper we use the approach in [1] to study both the right and left algebras. The algebras and their multiplication rules are described in sections 2 through 4. In section 5, we obtain filtrations of these algebras. These lead, in most cases, to parameterizations of the irreducible representations for both B_R and B_L for a field of characteristic 0 (in section 6) or positive characteristic p (in sections 7 and 8).

2 The semigroups S_r and the double coset algebra $A(S_r, \mathbf{G})$

Let $\bar{\tau}_r$ be the set of all maps $\alpha : \{0,1,\ldots,r\} \to \{0,1,\ldots,r\}$ such that $\alpha(0) = 0$. $\bar{\tau}_r$ is a semigroup under composition and is isomorphic to the partial transformation semigroup $\mathcal{PT}_r$. Let τ_r be the full transformation semigroup and $\mathfrak{S}_r$ the symmetric group on $\{1,2,\ldots,r\}$. Any α in τ_r or $\mathfrak{S}_r$ can be extended to $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\tau}_r$ by defining $\bar{\alpha}(0) = 0$, so we can regard $\mathfrak{S}_r$ and τ_r as subsemigroups of $\bar{\tau}_r$. We will let S_r represent any subsemigroup of $\bar{\tau}_r$ which contains $\mathfrak{S}_r$. For example, S_r could be the rook semigroup, $\Re_r = \left\{\alpha \in \bar{\tau}_r : \forall i \in \{1,2,\ldots r\}, \left|\alpha^{-1}(i)\right| \leqslant 1\right\}$. Our main examples for S_r will be $\mathfrak{S}_r, \tau_r, \Re_r$, and $\bar{\tau}_r$. Note that $\mathfrak{S}_r = \tau_r \cap \Re_r$ and $\bar{\tau}_r = \Re_r \cdot \tau_r$.

Each S_r can be identified with a certain semigroup of matrices. Let $M_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the set of all $(r+1)\times(r+1)$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$ (considered as a semigroup under matrix multiplication). For convenience, label the rows and columns for each $m \in M_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ from 0 to r. To each $\alpha \in S_r$ assign a matrix $m(\alpha) \in M_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ by setting $m(\alpha)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } i = \alpha(j) \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$. Note that column j of $m(\alpha)$ contains exactly one non-zero entry, namely a 1 in row $\alpha(j)$. The 1 in column 0 is always in row 0. It is not hard to check that $\alpha \mapsto m(\alpha)$ gives an injective semigroup homomorphism $S_r \to M_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$, so we will identify S_r with its image in $M_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z})$. For $\alpha \in S_r$we will usually write just α for the corresponding matrix $m(\alpha)$. If $\alpha \in R_r$, then $m(\alpha)$ has at most one 1 in rows $1, 2, \ldots, r$. If $\alpha \in \tau_r$, then $m(\alpha)$ has only one 1 in row 0 (in column 0). If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_r$, then $m(\alpha)$ is the usual permutation matrix bordered with an extra row 0 and column 0.

Let $\Lambda(r,n)$ be the set of all compositions of r with n parts. So for $\lambda \in \Lambda(r,n)$ we have $\lambda = \{\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $\lambda_i \geqslant 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = r$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda(r,n)$ define "λ-blocks" of integers, b_i^λ, and a "Young subgroup", $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_r \subseteq S_r$ as follows. First, let

$$b_1^\lambda = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < k \leqslant \lambda_1\} \text{ and}$$

$$b_i^\lambda = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1} < k \leqslant \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_i\} \text{ for } 1 < i \leqslant n.$$

Thus b_i^λ consists of λ_i consecutive integers and $b_i^\lambda = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \lambda_i = 0$. Now let $\mathfrak{S}\left(b_i^\lambda\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_r$ be the group of all permutations of b_i^λ, so $\mathfrak{S}\left(b_i^\lambda\right) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_i}$. (Put $\mathfrak{S}\left(b_i^\lambda\right) = \{\text{identity element in } \mathfrak{S}_r\}$ when $b_i^\lambda = \emptyset$.) Finally, define the Young subgroup by $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{S}\left(b_i^\lambda\right) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_i}$. Notice that each $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ is generated by $\{s_i : s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda\}$ where $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ is the elementary transposition which interchanges i and $i+1$. We often write G_λ for the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$, write ${}_\lambda M$ for the set of left $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$-cosets of the form $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \alpha$, $\alpha \in S_r$, M_μ for the set of right $\mathfrak{S}_\mu$-cosets $\alpha \mathfrak{S}_\mu$, $\alpha \in S_r$, and ${}_\lambda M_\mu$ for the set of all double cosets $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \alpha \mathfrak{S}_\mu$, $\alpha \in S_r$. Write $D(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$ for the double coset $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \alpha \mathfrak{S}_\mu$. We may write just D_α for the double coset $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \alpha \mathfrak{S}_\mu$ when λ, μ are clear.

Let $\mathbf{G} = \{G_\lambda = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda(r,n)\}$, a family of subgroups of the monoid S_r. The double coset algebra $A = A(S_r, \mathbf{G})$ was defined in [2]. A is a complex vector space with basis $\{X(\lambda, D, \mu) : \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n,r),\ D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu\}$. The product in A is given by

$$X(\lambda, D_1, \nu) \cdot X(\omega, D_2, \mu) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \nu \neq \omega; \\ \sum_{D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu} a(\lambda, \mu, D_1, D_2, D)\, X(\lambda, D, \mu), & \text{if } \nu = \omega. \end{cases}$$

If $D = D(\lambda, m, \mu)$, then $a(\lambda, \mu, D_1, D_2, D)$ is the nonnegative integer

$$a(\lambda, \mu, D_1, D_2, D) = \#\{(m_1, m_2) \in D_1 \times D_2 : m_1 m_2 = m\}.$$

As shown in [2], there is an alternative formula for the structure constants $a(\lambda,\mu,D_1,D_2,D)$. For any double coset $D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$, the product group $G_\lambda \times G_\mu$ acts transitively on D by $(\sigma\times\pi)(m) = \sigma m\pi^{-1}$ for $m \in D, \sigma \in G_\lambda, \pi \in G_\mu$. Then for any $m \in D$, let $n(D)$ be the order of the subgroup $G_{\lambda,\mu,m}$ of $G_\lambda \times G_\mu$ which leaves m fixed: $n(D) = \#\left\{(\sigma\times\pi) : \sigma \in G_\lambda, \pi \in G_\mu, \sigma m\pi^{-1} = m\right\}$. It is easy to check that $G_{\lambda,\mu,m}$, $G_{\lambda,\mu,n}$ are conjugate subgroups whenever $m, n \in D$, so the order $n(D)$ is independent of the choice of $m \in D$. For $D_m \in {}_\lambda M_\nu, D_n \in {}_\nu M_\mu, D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$, put $N(D_m, D_n, D) = \#\{\rho \in G_\nu : m\rho n \in D\}$ (which is independent of the choices of m, n). Finally, let $o(G_\nu)$ be the order of the group G_ν. Then the structure constants are given by

$$a(\lambda,\mu,D_m,D_n,D) = \frac{o(G_\nu)\,N(D_m,D_n,D)\,n(D)}{n(D_m)\,n(D_n)}.$$

When $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$, the algebra A is isomorphic to the classical complex Schur algebra $S(r,n)$, so for general S_r we call A the generalized Schur algebra associated with S_r.

3 The left and right $\mathbb{Z}$-forms for A

In [2], the left and right $\mathbb{Z}$-forms for the algebra $A = A(S_r, \mathbf{G})$ were defined. These algebras, denoted here by A_L, A_R , are $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras with unit such that there are isomorphisms of complex algebras $A_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong A \cong A_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. Each of A_L, A_R is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with a basis $\{f(\lambda, D, \mu) : \lambda,\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)\ , D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu\}$ corresponding to the collection of all double cosets. We now describe the (integer) structure constants for these algebras as given in [2]. For $D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$, note that G_μ acts transitively on the set of left cosets $C \in {}_\lambda M, C \subseteq D$. Let $G_L(\lambda, C, \mu) = \{\pi \in G_\mu : C\pi = C\}$ be the subgroup of G_μ which fixes a given C. If $C = G_\lambda\alpha$, then $G_L(\lambda, C, \mu) = \{\pi \in G_\mu : \exists\sigma \in G_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \alpha\pi = \sigma\alpha\}$ and we write $G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\mu) = G_L(\lambda, C, \mu) \subseteq G_\mu$. For any two left cosets $C_1, C_2 \in {}_\lambda M$, $C_1, C_2 \subseteq D$ the subgroups $G_L(C_1), G_L(C_2)$ are conjugate, so we can define $n_L(D)$ to be the order of $G_L(\lambda, C, \mu)$ for any such C. If $D = D_\alpha = G_\lambda\alpha G_\mu$, we write $n_L(\lambda,\alpha,\mu) = n_L(D)$. Similarly, for any right coset $C = \alpha G_\mu \subseteq D$, let $G_R(\lambda, C, \mu) = \{\sigma \in G_\lambda : \sigma C = C\} = \{\sigma \in G_\lambda : \exists\pi \in G_\mu \text{ s.t. } \sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi\}$ be the subgroup of G_λ which fixes C and let $n_R(D)$ be the order of $G_R(\lambda, C, \mu)$ (independent of the choice of C). We also write $G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\mu) = G_R(\lambda, C, \mu) \subseteq G_\lambda$. If $D = D_\alpha = G_\lambda\alpha G_\mu$, we write $n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\mu) = n_R(D)$. Let $*_L, *_R$ be the products in A_L, A_R respectively. Write $f(\lambda,\alpha,\mu)$ for the basis element $f(\lambda, D_\alpha, \mu)$. Then from [2] we have the following

Multiplication Rules:

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_R f(\omega,\beta,\mu) = f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L f(\omega,\beta,\mu) = 0 \text{ if } \nu \neq \omega$$

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \sum_{D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu} \frac{n_R(D) N(D_\alpha, D_\beta, D)}{n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)\, n_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)} f(\lambda, D, \mu)$$

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \sum_{D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu} \frac{n_L(D) N(D_\alpha, D_\beta, D)}{n_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)\, n_L(\nu,\beta,\mu)} f(\lambda, D, \mu)$$

As shown in [2], the structure constants appearing in the multiplication rules are always nonnegative integers.

An alternative form of the multiplication rules is sometimes useful.

Lemma 3.1. (a) *if ρ_1, ρ_2 are in the same right $G_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)$-coset of G_ν, then for any $\alpha \in S_r$, $\alpha\rho_1\beta$ and $\alpha\rho_2\beta$ are in the same $G_\lambda - G_\mu$ double coset.*

(b) *if ρ_1, ρ_2 are in the same left $G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$-coset of G_ν, then for any $\beta \in S_r$, $\alpha\rho_1\beta$ and $\alpha\rho_2\beta$ are in the same $G_\lambda - G_\mu$ double coset.*

Proof. a) Suppose $\rho_2 = \rho_1\kappa$ for some $\kappa \in G_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)$. Then there exists $\pi \in G_\mu$ such that $\kappa\beta = \beta\pi$. Then $\alpha\rho_2\beta = \alpha\rho_1\kappa\beta = \alpha\rho_1\beta\pi \in G_\lambda(\alpha\rho_1\beta) G_\mu$.

b) Suppose $\rho_2 = \kappa\rho_1$ for some $\kappa \in G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$. Then there exists $\sigma \in G_\lambda$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\kappa$. Then $\alpha\rho_2\beta = \alpha\kappa\rho_1\beta = \sigma\alpha\rho_1\beta \in G_\lambda(\alpha\rho_1\beta) G_\mu$. □

It follows that for any right $G_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)$ coset, any $D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$, and any $\alpha \in S_r$, either $\alpha\rho\beta \in D$ for every ρ in the coset or $\alpha\rho\beta \notin D$ for any ρ in the coset (and similarly for left $G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$ cosets) .

Proposition 3.1. *Let $D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$. Then*

$$N(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu), D(\nu,\beta,\mu), D) = a_{R,D} n_R(\nu,\beta,\mu) = a_{L,D} n_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$$

where $a_{R,D}$ = the number of distinct right cosets $\rho G_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)$ in G_ν such that $\alpha\rho\beta \in D$, and $a_{L,D}$ = the number of distinct left cosets $G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)\rho$ in G_ν such that $\alpha\rho\beta \in D$.

Proof. All right cosets $\rho G_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)$ have $o(G_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)) = n_R(\nu,\beta,\mu)$ elements. So

$$N(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu), D(\nu,\beta,\mu), D) = \#\{\rho \in G_\nu : \alpha\rho\beta \in D\} = a_{R,D} n_R(\nu,\beta,\mu).$$

Similarly, all left cosets $G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)\rho$ have $n_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$ elements, so

$$N(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu), D(\nu,\beta,\mu), D) = \#\{\rho \in G_\nu : \alpha\rho\beta \in D\} = a_{L,D} n_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu).$$

□

Substituting into the multiplication rules gives the following

Alternative forms of multiplication rules:

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \sum_{D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu} \frac{n_R(D)\ a_{R,D}}{n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)} f(\lambda, D, \mu)$$

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \sum_{D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu} \frac{n_L(D)\ a_{L,D}}{n_L(\nu,\beta,\mu)} f(\lambda,D,\mu).$$

Some special cases of the multiplication rules will also be useful.

Case 1: $\nu_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, \\ 0, & \text{for } i > r. \end{cases}$

Then $G_\nu = \{id\}$ and $n_R(\nu,\beta,\mu) = 1 = n_L(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$ for any $\lambda,\mu \in \Lambda(r,n)$ and $\alpha,\beta \in S_r$. Also

$$N(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu), D(\nu,\beta,\mu), D) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D = G_\lambda \alpha\beta G_\mu; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then substituting in the multiplication rule gives

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \frac{n_R(D(\lambda,\alpha\beta,\mu))}{n_R(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu))} f(\lambda,\alpha\beta,\mu)$$

For $\alpha = 1$ (the identity in S_r), $n_R(\lambda,1,\nu) = 1$ giving

$$f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = n_R(D(\lambda,\beta,\mu))\, f(\lambda,\beta,\mu)$$

If in addition $\lambda = \nu$, then writing $1_\nu = f(\nu,1,\nu)$ gives

$$1_\nu *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = f(\nu,\beta,\mu).$$

There are similar rules for $*_L$:

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \frac{n_L(D(\lambda,\alpha\beta,\mu))}{n_L(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu))} f(\lambda,\alpha\beta,\mu)$$

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L f(\nu,1,\mu) = n_L(D(\lambda,\alpha,\mu))\, f(\lambda,\alpha,\mu)$$

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L 1_\nu = f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu).$$

Case 2: $\alpha = 1$ (the identity in S_r) and $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$.

Then for any $\rho \in G_\nu$, we have $\alpha\rho\beta = \rho\beta \in G_\lambda \beta G_\mu$, so

$$N(D(\lambda,1,\nu), D(\nu,\beta,\mu), D) = \begin{cases} o(G_\nu), & \text{if } D = G_\lambda \beta G_\mu; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also $G_R(\lambda,1,\nu) = G_\nu = G_L(\lambda,1,\nu)$, so

$$n_R(D(\lambda,1,\nu)) = o(G_\nu) = n_L(D(\lambda,1,\nu)).$$

Then substitution in the multiplication rule gives:

$$f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \frac{n_R(D(\lambda,\beta,\mu))}{n_R(D(\nu,\beta,\mu))} f(\lambda,\beta,\mu).$$

In particular, if $\lambda = \nu$ and $1_\nu = f(\nu,1,\nu)$ then

$$1_\nu *_R f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = f(\nu,\beta,\mu).$$

Similarly,

$$f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_L f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = \frac{n_L(D(\lambda,\beta,\mu))}{n_L(D(\nu,\beta,\mu))} f(\lambda,\beta,\mu)$$
$$1_\nu *_L f(\nu,\beta,\mu) = f(\nu,\beta,\mu).$$

Case 3: $\beta = 1$ and $G_\nu \subseteq G_\mu$.

Then for any $\rho \in G_\nu$, we have $\alpha\rho\beta = \alpha\rho \in G_\lambda \alpha G_\mu$, so

$$N(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu), D(\nu,1,\mu), D) = \begin{cases} o(G_\nu), & \text{if } D = G_\lambda \alpha G_\mu; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also $G_R(\nu,1,\mu) = G_\nu = G_L(\nu,1,\mu)$, so

$$n_R(D(\nu,1,\mu)) = o(G_\nu) = n_L(D(\nu,1,\mu)).$$

Then substitution in the multiplication rule gives:

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_R f(\nu,1,\mu) = \frac{n_R(D(\lambda,\alpha,\mu))}{n_R(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu))} f(\lambda,\alpha,\mu)$$

In particular, if $\mu = \nu$ and $1_\nu = f(\nu,1,\nu)$ then

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_R 1_\nu = f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu).$$

Similarly,

$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L f(\nu,1,\mu) = \frac{n_L(D(\lambda,\alpha,\mu))}{n_L(D(\lambda,\alpha,\nu))} f(\lambda,\alpha,\mu)$$
$$f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu) *_L 1_\nu = f(\lambda,\alpha,\nu).$$

Case 4: $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $\lambda = \mu$, $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda = G_\mu$.

As a special case of either case 2 or case 3,

$$f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_R f(\nu,1,\lambda) = \frac{o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu)} f(\lambda,1,\lambda) = f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_L f(\nu,1,\lambda).$$

In particular, when $\nu = \lambda = \mu$,

$$1_\nu *_R 1_\nu = 1_\nu = 1_\nu *_L 1_\nu.$$

So in both A_R and A_L, each $1_\nu = f(\nu, 1, \nu)$ is an idempotent. In fact for either algebra, cases 2 and 3 can be used to check that $\{1_\nu : \nu \in \Lambda(r,n)\}$ is a family of orthogonal idempotents with $\underset{\nu \in \Lambda(r,n)}{\oplus} 1_\nu = 1$ (the identity).

4 The left and right generalized Schur algebras

Let R be a commutative domain with unit 1 and let $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to R$ be the natural ring homomophism such that $\psi(1) = 1$. Regard R as a right $\mathbb{Z}$-module via ψ and form the tensor products $LGS_R = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_L$ and $RGS_R = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_R$ which we call the left and right generalized Schur algebras over R (for the monoid S_r). (As in [2], for $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$, LGS_R is isomorphic to the classical Schur algebra over R, $S_R(r,n)$, while RGS_R is isomorphic to the opposite algebra $S_R(r,n)^{op}$.) The R algebras LGS_R, RGS_R are both free as R modules with bases $\{1 \otimes f(\lambda, D, \mu) : \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(r,n), D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu\}$. We will often denote a basis element $1 \otimes f(\lambda, D, \mu)$ by just $f(\lambda, D, \mu)$. Then all the multiplication rules given in section 3 apply if we identify each coefficient $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ occurring with its image $\psi(a) \in R$. As shown in [2], the isomorphism $A \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes A_L \equiv LGS_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by matching basis elements $\frac{X(\lambda,D,\mu)}{n_L(D)} \leftrightarrow 1 \otimes f(\lambda, D, \mu)$, where $n_L(D)$ is the number of elements in any left G_λ coset $C \subseteq D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$. Similarly, the isomorphism $A \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes A_R \equiv RGS_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by matching basis elements $\frac{X(\lambda,D,\mu)}{n_R(D)} \leftrightarrow 1 \otimes f(\lambda, D, \mu)$, where $n_R(D)$ is the number of elements in any right G_μ coset $C \subseteq D \in {}_\lambda M_\mu$.

5 Filtrations of generalized Schur algebras and irreducible representations

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(r,n)$ be the set of all compositions of r into n parts; $\Lambda^+ = \Lambda^+(r) \subseteq \Lambda$, the set of all partitions of r. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, put $L(\lambda, k) = \#\{i : \lambda_i = k\}$ (= number of rows of length k in λ). Define an equivalence relation on Λ by $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall i, L(\lambda_1, i) = L(\lambda_2, i)$. Notice that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is exactly one partition $\lambda^+ \in \Lambda^+$ with $\lambda \sim \lambda^+$. Let $<$ be the "lexicographic" order on Λ^+: For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^+$, $\lambda < \mu \Leftrightarrow \exists k$ s.t. $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for $i < k$ while $\lambda_k < \mu_k$. Then $<$ gives a total ordering of the partitions or of the equivalence classes of compositions. It extends to a partial order on Λ: for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, $\lambda \leqslant \mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda \sim \mu$ or $\lambda^+ < \mu^+$ where $\lambda^+, \mu^+ \in \Lambda^+$ are the partitions corresponding to λ, μ. (This partial order differs slightly from that used in [2] .) The smallest partition, $\bar{\nu} = 1^{(r)}$, has $\bar{\nu}_i = 1$ for all i. The largest partition, $\lambda = (r)$, has $\lambda_1 = r, \lambda_i = 0, i > 1$.

Write B for either of the R-algebras LGS_R or RGS_R. As mentioned above, $\{1_\lambda = f(\lambda,1,\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a set of orthogonal idempotents in B with $\underset{\lambda\in\Lambda}{\oplus} 1_\lambda = 1$ (the identity in B). For each partition $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ define $e_\lambda = \underset{\mu\in\Lambda,\mu<\lambda}{\oplus} 1_\mu$ and $\bar{e}_\lambda = e_\lambda \oplus \left(\underset{\mu\in\Lambda,\mu\sim\lambda}{\oplus} 1_\mu\right)$. (For the smallest partition $\bar{\nu} = 1^{(r)}$ define $e_{\bar{\nu}} = 0$.) Note the following results: each e_λ and $\bar{e}_\lambda$ is an idempotent; for the largest partition, (r), we have $\bar{e}_{(r)} = 1$ (the identity in B); $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^+ \Rightarrow \bar{e}_{\lambda_1}\bar{e}_{\lambda_2} = \bar{e}_{\min(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$ and $e_{\lambda_1}e_{\lambda_2} = e_{\min(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$; $\bar{e}_\lambda e_\lambda = e_\lambda \bar{e}_\lambda = e_\lambda$.

Obtain a filtration of the algebra B by defining $B^\lambda = \bar{e}_\lambda B \bar{e}_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Then $B^{(r)} = B$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \Rightarrow B^{\lambda_1} \subseteq B^{\lambda_2}$. Each B^λ is an R-algebra with unit $\bar{e}_\lambda$. Next define $K^\lambda = (Be_\lambda B) \cap B^\lambda = B^\lambda e_\lambda B^\lambda$. Then K^λ is the two-sided ideal in B^λ generated by e_λ. Finally, define $Q^\lambda = B^\lambda / K^\lambda$. Then Q^λ is an R-algebra with unit $\bar{e}_\lambda \mod K^\lambda$.

By standard idempotent theory, if I is an irreducible (left) B-module, then either $\bar{e}_\lambda I = 0$ or $\bar{e}_\lambda I$ is an irreducible $B^\lambda (= \bar{e}_\lambda B \bar{e}_\lambda)$-module. Define an irreducible B-module I to be at level λ if $\bar{e}_\lambda I \neq 0$ and $e_\lambda I = 0$. Then isomorphism classes of irreducible B-modules at levels $\leqslant \lambda$ correspond to those with $\bar{e}_\lambda I \neq 0$, which in turn correspond to isomorphism classes of irreducible B^λ-modules.

An irreducible Q^λ-module corresponds to an irreducible B^λ-module on which K^λ acts trivially, and thus to an irreducible B-module I such that $\bar{e}_\lambda I \neq 0$ but $K^\lambda \bar{e}_\lambda I = 0$. But then $K^\lambda \bar{e}_\lambda I = 0 \Rightarrow e_\lambda \bar{e}_\lambda I = 0 \Rightarrow e_\lambda I = 0 \Rightarrow I$ is at level λ. Since any irreducible B-module lies at exactly one level, it corresponds to an irreducible Q^λ-module for exactly one $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. Thus a classification of the irreducible Q^λ-modules for all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ will give a classification of all irreducible B-modules.

We will make one further reduction. Let $\bar{B}^\lambda = (1_\lambda + e_\lambda) B (1_\lambda + e_\lambda) \subseteq B^\lambda$. This is an R-algebra with unit $1_\lambda + e_\lambda$. Let $\bar{K}^\lambda = K^\lambda \cap \bar{B}^\lambda = \bar{B}^\lambda e_\lambda \bar{B}^\lambda$, the two-sided ideal in $\bar{B}^\lambda$ generated by e_λ, and define $C^\lambda = \bar{B}^\lambda / \bar{K}^\lambda$. C^λ is an R-algebra with unit $1_\lambda \mod \bar{K}^\lambda$ and can be identified with a subalgebra of Q^λ: $C^\lambda = \left(1_\lambda \mod K^\lambda\right) Q^\lambda \left(1_\lambda \mod K^\lambda\right)$. We will see that irreducible Q^λ-modules correspond to irreducible C^λ-modules.

Notice that if $\mu, \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \sim \lambda$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_r \subseteq S_r$ such that $G_\mu = \alpha G_\lambda \alpha^{-1}$.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\mu, \lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mu \sim \lambda$, and $G_\mu = \alpha G_\lambda \alpha^{-1}$, then for $X = L$ or R, $1_\mu = f(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) *_X 1_\lambda *_X f\left(\lambda, \alpha^{-1}, \mu\right)$.*

Proof. For any $\rho \in G_\lambda$ we have $\alpha\rho\alpha^{-1} \in G_\mu = G_\mu 1 G_\mu$, so

$$N\left(D(\mu,\alpha,\lambda), D\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right), D\right) = \begin{cases} o(G_\lambda), & \text{if } D = D(\mu,1,\mu), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $\sigma \in G_\mu$, let $\pi = \alpha^{-1}\sigma\alpha \in G_\lambda$. Then $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi$. Then $G_R(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) = G_\mu$ and $n_R(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) = o(G_\mu)$. Reversing the roles of λ, μ gives $n_R\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right) = o(G_\lambda)$. Also $n_R(\mu,1,\mu) = o(G_\mu)$. Substituting into the multiplication rule

then gives

$$f(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) *_R 1_\lambda *_R f\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right) = f(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) *_R f\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right)$$

$$= \frac{o(G_\mu)\, o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\mu)\, o(G_\lambda)} f(\mu,1,\mu) = 1_\mu.$$

By a similar argument, $n_L(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) = o(G_\lambda)$, $n_L\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right) = o(G_\mu)$, and $n_L(\mu,1,\mu) = o(G_\mu)$. The multiplication rule again gives

$$f(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) *_L 1_\lambda *_L f\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right) = f(\mu,\alpha,\lambda) *_L f\left(\lambda,\alpha^{-1},\mu\right)$$

$$= \frac{o(G_\mu)\, o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\mu)\, o(G_\lambda)} f(\mu,1,\mu) = 1_\mu.$$

□

Corollary 5.1. $1_\lambda \bmod K^\lambda$ *is a "full idempotent" in* Q^λ*, that is, the two sided ideal generated by* $1_\lambda \bmod K^\lambda$ *in* Q^λ *is all of* Q^λ*.*

Proof. Modulo K^λ , $\bar{e}_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda,\mu\sim\lambda} 1_\mu$. By the lemma, the two-sided ideal generated by 1_λ contains each 1_μ, $\mu \sim \lambda$. So the two sided ideal generated by $1_\lambda \bmod K^\lambda$ contains the identity $\bar{e}_\lambda \bmod K^\lambda$ in Q^λ and hence all of Q^λ. □

Proposition 5.1. *Isomorphism classes of irreducible* C^λ*-modules correspond one to one with isomorphism classes of irreducible* Q^λ*-modules, and hence to isomorphism classes of irreducible* B*-modules at level* λ*.*

Proof. By general idempotent theory, irreducible C^λ-modules correspond to irreducible Q^λ-modules I such that $\left(1_\lambda \bmod K^\lambda\right) I \neq 0$. But by the corollary above, we have a full idempotent, and for a full idempotent $\left(1_\lambda \bmod K^\lambda\right) I = 0 \Rightarrow I = 0$. So every irreducible Q^λ-module corresponds to a C^λ-module as claimed. □

Remark: Suppose there are d compositions μ in $\Lambda(r)$ with $\mu \sim \lambda \in \Lambda^+(r)$. It can be shown that Q^λ is isomorphic as an R-algebra to the algebra of d by d matrices with entries in C^λ. This leads to an alternative verification of Proposition 5.1.

In the remainder of this paper we will classify the irreducible B-modules (in many cases) by classifying the irreducible C^λ-modules for all partitions λ. It will be useful to rewrite C^λ slightly: since

$$\bar{B}^\lambda = (1_\lambda + e_\lambda)\, B\, (1_\lambda + e_\lambda) = 1_\lambda B 1_\lambda + e_\lambda B 1_\lambda + 1_\lambda B e_\lambda + e_\lambda B e_\lambda$$

and

$$e_\lambda B 1_\lambda + 1_\lambda B e_\lambda + e_\lambda B e_\lambda \subseteq B e_\lambda B \cap \bar{B}^\lambda = \bar{K}^\lambda$$

we have

$$C^\lambda = \bar{B}^\lambda / \bar{K}^\lambda = 1_\lambda B 1_\lambda / \left(1_\lambda B 1_\lambda \cap B e_\lambda B\right)$$

(where $e_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda,\mu<\lambda} 1_\mu$).

6 Characteristic zero

In this section we will assume R is a field k of characteristic zero. We continue to write B for either of the k-algebras LGS_k or RGS_k and let B^λ, C^λ be as in section 5. Let $\nu = 1^{(r)}$ be the "smallest" partition.

Theorem 6.1. *For a field k of characteristic zero, $C^\nu \cong k[S_r]$ (the monoid algebra for S_r over k) while $C^\lambda = 0$, $\lambda \neq \nu$. The irreducible left B-modules are all at level ν and correspond to irreducible left $k[S_r]$-modules. (The irreducible left $k[S_r]$-modules in turn correspond to irreducible left $k[\mathfrak{S}_i]$-modules for various $i \leqslant r$.)*

Proof. Let X be either L or R. For the smallest partition ν we have $e_\nu = 0,\ C^\nu = 1_\nu B 1_\nu$. Then C^ν is a k- vector space with basis $\{f(\nu, D, \nu) : D \in {}_\nu M_\nu\}$. Since $G_\nu = \{1\}$, the $\nu - \nu$ double cosets $D_\alpha = G_\nu \alpha G_\nu = \alpha$ consist of single elements of S_r. Then ${}_\nu M_\nu = S_r$ and $f(\nu, D_\alpha, \nu) \mapsto \alpha$ gives a vector space isomorphism $\phi : C^\nu \to k[S_r]$. By special case 1 of the multiplication law,

$$f(\nu,\alpha,\nu) *_X f(\nu,\beta,\nu) = \frac{n_X(D(\nu,\alpha\beta,\nu))}{n_X(D(\nu,\alpha,\nu))} f(\nu,\alpha\beta,\nu) = f(\nu,\alpha\beta,\nu)$$

(where we used the fact that $n_X(D(\nu,\gamma,\nu)) = 1$ for any $\gamma \in S_r$). So ϕ is an isomorphism of k-algebras. So irreducible left B-modules at level ν correspond to irreducible left $C^\nu \cong k[S_r]$-modules as stated.

Now suppose $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $\lambda \neq \nu$. To show $C^\lambda = 0$ it suffices to prove that $1_\lambda B 1_\lambda \subseteq B e_\lambda B$, which will be true if $1_\lambda \in B e_\lambda B$. We will show that $1_\lambda \in B 1_\nu B$. Then since $1_\nu = \bar{e}_\nu = \bar{e}_\nu e_\lambda$, we will have $1_\lambda \in B 1_\nu B = B \bar{e}_\nu e_\lambda B \subseteq B e_\lambda B$ as desired. By special case 4 of the multiplication rule,

$$f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_X 1_\nu *_X f(\nu,1,\lambda) = f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_X f(\nu,1,\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu)} f(\lambda,1,\lambda) = o(G_\lambda)\, 1_\lambda.$$

Since k has characteristic zero, $o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda) \neq 0$ in the field k and we have

$$1_\lambda = \frac{1}{o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)} f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_X 1_\nu *_X f(\nu,1,\lambda) \in B 1_\nu B$$

as claimed, completing the proof that $C^\lambda = 0$. □

7 Characteristic p

We now consider the case where R is a field k with positive characteristic p.

Definition 7.1. *A partition $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(r)$ is a p-partition if for each i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, either $\lambda_i = 0$ or $\lambda_i = p^{k_i}$ for some integer power $k_i \geqslant 0$ of p.*

Theorem 7.1. *If λ is not a p-partition, then $C^\lambda = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose λ is not a p-partition. To show $C^\lambda = 0$ it suffices to show that $Be_\lambda B \supseteq 1_\lambda B 1_\lambda$. This will be true if $1_\lambda \in Be_\lambda B = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda,\mu<\lambda} B1_\mu B$. So if we can show that $1_\lambda \in B1_\nu B$ for some $\nu \in \Lambda$ with $\nu < \lambda$ we will be done.

Since λ is not a p-partition, λ_a is not a power of p for at least one a. Write $\lambda_a = sp^k + R$ where $1 \leqslant s < p$, $0 \leqslant R < p^k$ (and $R > 0$ if $s = 1$). Define a new composition $\nu \in \Lambda$ by breaking the block b_λ^a into s blocks of size p^k and one block of size R (if $R > 0$). That is, we define

$$\nu_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_i, & \text{for } i < a; \\ p^k, & \text{for } a+1 \leqslant i \leqslant a+s; \\ R, & \text{for } i = a+s+1; \\ \lambda_{i-s-1}, & \text{for } i > a+s+1. \end{cases}$$

Evidently $\nu < \lambda$ and we can treat $\mathfrak{S}_\nu$ as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Then by special case 4 of the multiplication rule,

$$f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_X 1_\nu *_X f(\nu,1,\lambda) = f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_X f(\nu,1,\lambda)$$
$$= \frac{o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu)} f(\lambda,1,\lambda) = \frac{o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu)} 1_\lambda.$$

So if $c \equiv \frac{o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu)} \neq 0$ in k, then

$$1_\lambda = \frac{1}{c} \cdot f(\lambda,1,\nu) *_X 1_\nu *_X f(\nu,1,\lambda) \in B1_\nu B$$

as desired. But a little computation shows that

$$c = \frac{o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)}{o(\mathfrak{S}_\nu)} = \frac{\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant r} o(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_i})}{\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant r} o(\mathfrak{S}_{\nu_i})}$$

$$= \frac{o(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_a})}{\prod_{a+1\leqslant i\leqslant a+s+1} o(\mathfrak{S}_{\nu_i})} = \frac{(sp^k+R)!}{[p^k!]^s \cdot R!} \neq 0 \mod p.$$

So $c \neq 0$ in k, which completes the proof. □

Now consider a fixed p-partition λ. Write $s_i = L(\lambda, p^i) = \#\{j : \lambda_j = p^i\}$, so there are s_i blocks b_j^λ of size p^i. We will define a map from the product semigroup $\prod_{s_i>0} \bar{\tau}_{s_i}$ to $\bar{\tau}_r$. For each i with $s_i > 0$, write the integers in these s_i blocks in the form $c + (a-1)p^i + b$ where $1 \leqslant a \leqslant s_i$, $1 \leqslant b \leqslant p^i$ (and $c = \sum_{j>i} s_j p^j$ is the number of integers in blocks larger than p^i). Then given $\{\alpha_i \in \bar{\tau}_{s_i} : s_i > 0\}$ define $\alpha \in \bar{\tau}_r$ as follows: if $j = c + (a-1)p^i + b$ is in one of the s_i blocks of size p^i, then

$$\alpha(j) = \alpha\left(c + (a-1)p^i + b\right) = \begin{cases} c + (\alpha_i(a) - 1)\, p^i + b, & \text{if } \alpha_i(a) \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha_i(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

This defines a map $\phi_\lambda : \prod_{s_i>0} \bar{\tau}_{s_i} \to \bar{\tau}_r$ where $\phi_\lambda\left(\prod \alpha_i\right) = \alpha$. It is not hard to check that $\phi = \phi_\lambda$ is an injective semigroup homomorphism. (To understand the map ϕ, consider the tableau obtained by filling the Young diagram corresponding to λ with the integers 1 to r in order from left to right along row 1, then row 2, etc. Then $\phi\left(\prod \alpha_i\right) = \alpha$ maps the entries in the a^{th} row of length p^i one to one to the entries in the $\alpha_i\left(a\right)^{th}$ row of length p^i if $\alpha_i(a) \neq 0$ or to 0 if $\alpha_i\left(a\right) = 0$.)

Next let $S_r^\lambda = image\left(\phi_\lambda\right) \cap S_r \subseteq \bar{\tau}_r$. We sometimes identify the semigroup S_r^λ with its inverse image $\phi_\lambda^{-1}\left(S_r^\lambda\right) \subseteq \prod_{s_i>0} \bar{\tau}_{s_i}$. Let $k\left[S_r^\lambda\right]$ be the semigroup algebra and (with a slight change in notation) write $B^\lambda = 1_\lambda B 1_\lambda$, where $B = B_L$ or B_R. Then define a k-linear map $\psi_\lambda : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to B^\lambda = 1_\lambda B 1_\lambda$ by $\psi_\lambda(\alpha) = f\left(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda\right)$ for $\alpha \in S_r^\lambda$ (extended linearly). Evidently no two distinct elements in S_r^λ can lie in the same double coset in ${}_\lambda M_\lambda$, so ψ_λ is injective. Write $\psi_{\lambda,R}$ for the map ψ_λ when B is the right generalized Schur algebra B_R .

Proposition 7.1. *$\psi_{\lambda,R} = \psi_\lambda : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to B_R^\lambda$ is an (injective) k-algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. We must show that for any $\alpha, \beta \in S_r^\lambda$, $\psi_\lambda\left(\alpha\beta\right) = \psi_\lambda\left(\alpha\right)\psi_\lambda\left(\beta\right)$. Observe that for any $\delta \in S_r^\lambda$, we have $\mathfrak{S}_R\left(\lambda, \delta, \lambda\right) = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ and therefore $n_R\left(\lambda, \delta, \lambda\right) = o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right)$. Also $\alpha\pi\beta \in D_{\alpha\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in S_r^\lambda$, $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ so $N\left(D_\alpha, D_\beta, D\right) = o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right)$ if $D = D_{\alpha\beta}$ and equals 0 otherwise. The multiplication rule then gives

$$\psi_\lambda(\alpha)\psi_\lambda(\beta) = f\left(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda\right) *_R f\left(\lambda, \beta, \lambda\right) = \frac{o\left(G_\lambda\right) \cdot n_R(\lambda, \alpha\beta, \lambda)}{n_R(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) n_R(\lambda, \beta, \lambda)} \cdot f\left(\lambda, \alpha\beta, \lambda\right).$$

Then

$$\psi_\lambda(\alpha)\psi_\lambda(\beta) = \frac{o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right) \cdot o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right)}{o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right) \cdot o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right)} \cdot f\left(\lambda, \alpha\beta, \lambda\right) = \psi_\lambda(\alpha\beta)$$

as claimed. □

For the left generalized Schur algebra B_L, consider the submonoid $\bar{\tau}_{s_0} \cdot \prod_{i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$ of $\prod_{i \geqslant 0} \bar{\tau}_{s_i}$. Let $\phi_{\lambda,L}$ be ϕ_λ restricted to $\bar{\tau}_{s_0} \cdot \prod_{i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$, $S_{r,L}^\lambda = image\left(\phi_{\lambda,L}\right) \cap S_r \subseteq S_r^\lambda$, and $\psi_{\lambda,L} = \psi_\lambda$ restricted to $k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right]$. Then $\psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right] \to B_L^\lambda = 1_\lambda B_L 1_\lambda$ is an injective, k-linear map.

Proposition 7.2. *$\psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right] \to B_L^\lambda$ is an (injective) k-algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of proposition 7.1. We must show that for any $\alpha, \beta \in S_{r,L}^\lambda$, $\psi_{\lambda,L}\left(\alpha\beta\right) = \psi_{\lambda,L}\left(\alpha\right)\psi_{\lambda,L}\left(\beta\right)$. The key is that for the particular elements $\delta \in S_{r,L}^\lambda$, we have $\mathfrak{S}_L\left(\lambda, \delta, \lambda\right) = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ and therefore $n_L\left(\lambda, \delta, \lambda\right) = o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right)$. Also we again have $\alpha\pi\beta \in D_{\alpha\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in S_{r,L}^\lambda$, $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$, so $N\left(D_\alpha, D_\beta, D\right) = o\left(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\right)$ if $D = D_{\alpha\beta}$ and equals 0 otherwise. The multiplication rule then gives

$$\psi_{\lambda,L}(\alpha)\psi_{\lambda,L}(\beta) = f\left(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda\right) *_L f\left(\lambda, \beta, \lambda\right) = \frac{o\left(G_\lambda\right) \cdot n_L(\lambda, \alpha\beta, \lambda)}{n_L(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) n_L(\lambda, \beta, \lambda)} \cdot f\left(\lambda, \alpha\beta, \lambda\right).$$

Then

$$\psi_{\lambda,L}(\alpha)\psi_{\lambda,L}(\beta) = \frac{o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)\cdot o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)}{o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)\cdot o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)} \cdot f(\lambda, \alpha\beta, \lambda) = \psi_{\lambda,L}(\alpha\beta)$$

as desired. □

Now let $\Pi_X : B_X^\lambda \to C_X^\lambda = B_X^\lambda / \left(B_X e_\lambda B_X \cap B_X^\lambda\right)$, for $X = L$ or R, be the natural projection. We will eventually show that the k-algebra homomophisms $\Pi_X \circ \psi_{\lambda,X} : k\left[S_{r,X}^\lambda\right] \to C_X^\lambda$ are surjective. The following lemma will be used to show that certain $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda)$ are in $\ker(\Pi_X) = B_X e_\lambda B_X \cap B_X^\lambda$.

Lemma 7.1. *Given a partition $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ and $\alpha \in S_r$, suppose there exists a composition $\nu \in \Lambda$ such that $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$, $\nu < \lambda$, and either $G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq G_X(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$ or $G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$. Then $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_X)$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$ implies $G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \supseteq G_X(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$ and $G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \supseteq G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, so our hypotheses imply either $G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = G_X(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$ or $G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = G_X(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, and hence either $n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = n_X(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$ or $n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$. Suppose $n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = n_X(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then case 2 of the multiplication rule gives

$$f(\lambda, 1, \nu) *_X f(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda)}{n_X(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)} f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda).$$

Since $\nu < \lambda$ we have $e_\lambda 1_\nu = 1_\nu$, so

$$f(\lambda, 1, \nu) *_X f(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) = f(\lambda, 1, \nu) *_X 1_\nu *_X f(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) =$$

$$f(\lambda, 1, \nu) *_X e_\lambda 1_\nu *_X f(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) \in B_X e_\lambda B_X \cap B_X^\lambda = \ker(\Pi_X).$$

Then $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_X)$ as desired. If $n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = n_X(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, a parallel proof using case 3 of the multiplication rule again gives $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_X)$. □

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be a composition and take any $\alpha \in S_r$. Consider α as a matrix with rows α_i indexed by $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, r$.

Definition 7.2. *Two rows α_i, α_j of α are λ-equivalent if $\#\left(\alpha^{-1}(i) \cap b_k^\lambda\right) = \#\left(\alpha^{-1}(j) \cap b_k^\lambda\right)$ for every λ-block b_k^λ.*

This means that the two rows have the same number of 1's in columns belonging to any λ-block. If we let $\mathfrak{S}_r$ act (on the right) on the rows of α by permuting elements, then α_i, α_j are λ-equivalent if and only if $\alpha_j = \alpha_i \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$.

Lemma 7.2. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha \in S_r$, suppose there exist $\pi \in G_\lambda, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$, such that $\alpha\pi = \sigma\alpha$. Then for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, rows i and $\sigma(i)$ of α are λ-equivalent.*

Proof. For a given λ-block b_k^λ, assume $\#\left(\alpha^{-1}(i)\cap b_k^\lambda\right)=m$ and write $\alpha^{-1}(i)\cap b_k^\lambda=\{c_1,c_2,\cdots,c_m\}$. Then $\alpha(c_j)=i$, so $\sigma(i)=\sigma\alpha(c_j)=\alpha\pi(c_j)$. Then $\pi(c_j)\in\alpha^{-1}(\sigma(i))$. Also, $\pi(c_j)\in b_k^\lambda$ since $\pi\in G_\lambda$. So $\alpha^{-1}(\sigma(i))\cap b_k^\lambda=\{\pi c_1,\pi c_2,\cdots,\pi c_m\}$. Then $\#\left(\alpha^{-1}(\sigma(i))\cap b_k^\lambda\right)=m=\#\left(\alpha^{-1}(i)\cap b_k^\lambda\right)$. Since this is true for all λ-blocks, rows i and $\sigma(i)$ of α are λ-equivalent as claimed. □

Lemma 7.3. *If two rows α_i,α_j of α with i,j in the same λ-block b_k^λ are not λ-equivalent, then $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\in\ker(\Pi_X)$.*

Proof. Suppose $b_k^\lambda=\{c+1,c+2,\cdots,c+\lambda_k\}$ is a λ-block for which two rows of α are not λ-equivalent. By choosing, if necessary, a different representative for α in the same $G_\lambda-G_\lambda$ double coset, we can assume that rows $c+1,c+2,\cdots,c+a$ are all λ-equivalent for some $1\leqslant a<\lambda_k$, while none of the rows $c+a+1,c+a+2,\cdots,c+\lambda_k$ are λ-equivalent to row $c+1$. Let ν be the composition obtained by splitting block b_k^λ into two (nonempty) blocks $\{c+1,\cdots,c+a\}$ and $\{c+a+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_k\}$, while leaving the other blocks unchanged. Then $G_\nu\subseteq G_\lambda$ and $\nu<\lambda$. By lemma 7.1, if we show $G_X(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\subseteq G_X(\nu,\alpha,\lambda)$ then $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\in\ker(\Pi_X)$ and the proof is complete.

For $X=R$, take any $\sigma\in G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\subseteq\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Then there exists a $\pi\in G_\lambda$ such that $\alpha\pi=\sigma\alpha$. By lemma 7.2, rows i and $\sigma(i)$ of α must be λ-equivalent for any i. Then in particular, σ must map blocks $\{c+1,\cdots,c+a\}$ and $\{c+a+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_k\}$ into themselves. Since $\sigma\in G_\lambda$, it maps all other blocks to themselves. Then $\sigma\in G_\nu$ and $\sigma\in G_\nu\cap G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)=G_R(\nu,\alpha,\lambda)$. It follows that $G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\subseteq G_R(\nu,\alpha,\lambda)$ as desired.

The proof for $X=L$ is similar. Take any $\pi\in G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\subseteq\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Then there exists a $\sigma\in G_\lambda$ such that $\alpha\pi=\sigma\alpha$. Then lemma 7.2 again shows that rows i and $\sigma(i)$ of α must be λ-equivalent for any i. Then $\sigma\in G_\nu$ (as for the case $X=R$ above), which implies $\pi\in G_L(\nu,\alpha,\lambda)$. It follows that $G_L(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\subseteq G_L(\nu,\alpha,\lambda)$ as desired. □

Corollary 7.1. *Take $\alpha\in S_r$ with $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\notin\ker(\Pi_X)$. Suppose $i\in b_k^\lambda$, a block of size λ_k, and $\alpha(i)\in b_j^\lambda$, a block of size λ_j. Then $\lambda_k\geqslant\lambda_j$. If $\lambda_k=\lambda_j$, then α maps the block b_k^λ one to one onto the block b_j^λ.*

Proof. By lemma 7.3, any row α_l with $l\in b_j^\lambda$ must be λ-equivalent to row $\alpha(i)$. Then since $i\in\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(i))\cap b_k^\lambda$, each set $\alpha^{-1}(\alpha_l)\cap b_k^\lambda$ for $l\in b_j^\lambda$ must contain at least one element. These λ_j nonempty sets are disjoint subsets of the set b_k^λ which has λ_k elements, so clearly $\lambda_k\geqslant\lambda_j$. If $\lambda_k=\lambda_j$, then each set $\alpha^{-1}(\alpha_l)\cap b_k^\lambda$ must contain exactly one element and every element of b_k^λ must lie in one such set. But then α maps the block b_k^λ one to one onto the block b_j^λ as claimed. □

We now treat B_R and B_L separately. For B_R we have

Lemma 7.4. *For $\alpha\in S_r$, suppose there exists $i\in b_k^\lambda$, a block of size λ_k, with $\alpha(i)\in b_j^\lambda$, a block of size λ_j where $\lambda_k>\lambda_j$. Then $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)\in\ker(\Pi_R)$.*

Proof. By lemma 7.3, $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$ if there are two rows in the block b_j^λ which are not λ-equivalent, so assume all rows in block b_j^λ are λ-equivalent. Then for all $l \in b_j^\lambda$, the disjoint sets $\alpha^{-1}(l) \cap b_k^\lambda$ contain the same number, say m, of elements. Then by altering α within its double coset if necessary, we can assume that the block b_k^λ has the form

$$c+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_j,c+\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+2\lambda_j,\cdots,$$

$$c+(m-1)\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+m\lambda_j,c+m\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_k$$

where each sub-block $\{c+i\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+(i+1)\lambda_j\}$ is mapped by α one to one onto block b_j^λ, while none of $\{c+m\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_k\}$ is mapped to block b_j^λ.

Let ν be the composition obtained by splitting block b_k^λ into two (nonempty) blocks $\{c+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_j\}$ and $\{c+\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+\lambda_k\}$, while leaving the other blocks unchanged. Then $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$ and $\nu < \lambda$. By lemma 7.1, if we show $G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \subseteq G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$ then $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$ and the proof is complete.

Take any $\sigma \in G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda)$. There exists $\pi \in G_\lambda$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi$. To show that $\sigma \in G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$ we must find a $\pi' \in G_\nu$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi'$. Let $C = \{c+1,\cdots,c+m\lambda_j\} \subseteq b_k^\lambda$. Notice that π must map C onto C and $b_k^\lambda - C$ onto $b_k^\lambda - C$: if $\pi(i) \in b_k^\lambda - C$ for some $i \in C$, then $\alpha\pi(i) \notin b_j^\lambda$, while $\sigma\alpha(i) \in b_j^\lambda$, contradicting $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi$. Then if $\pi'(i) = \pi(i)$ for $i \notin C$, π' maps each λ-block not equal to b_k^λ onto itself and also maps $b_k^\lambda - C$ onto itself. For each sub-block $C_i = \{c+i\lambda_j+1,\cdots,c+i\lambda_j+\lambda_j\}$, $i = 0,1,\cdots,m-1$, both α and $\sigma\alpha$ map C_i one to one onto b_j^λ. Then define π' restricted to C_i to be the permutation $\alpha^{-1}\big|\, b_j^\lambda \circ \sigma\alpha\big|\, C_i$ of C_i such that $\alpha\pi'\big|\, C_i = \sigma\alpha\big|\, C_i$. Then $\pi' \in G_\nu$ and $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi'$, so $\sigma \in G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$. So $G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \subseteq G_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)$ and we are done. □

Proposition 7.3. *If $D_\alpha \notin \{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_r^\lambda\}$, then $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$. The k-algebra homomorphism $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective.*

Proof. Take any $\alpha \in S_r$ with $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \notin \ker(\Pi_R)$ and consider a λ-block b_k^λ of size λ_k. By corollary 7.1, α cannot map any element of b_k^λ into a block of size greater than λ_k and, by lemma 7.4, α cannot map any element of b_k^λ into a block of size less than λ_k. So, by corollary 7.1 again, either α maps all of b_k^λ to 0 or it maps b_k^λ one to one onto another block of size λ_k. But then α is in the same $G_\lambda - G_\lambda$ double coset as some $\alpha' \in S_r^\lambda$, that is, $D_\alpha = D_{\alpha'}$ for $\alpha' \in S_{r,R}^\lambda$.

Since the projection $\Pi_R : B_R^\lambda \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective and we have just shown that any basis element D_α for B_R^λ not in $\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_r^\lambda\}$ is in $\ker(\Pi_R)$, Π_R must map the k-span of $\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_r^\lambda\}$ onto C_R^λ. But the k-span of $\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_r^\lambda\}$ is exactly $\psi_{\lambda,R}\left(k\left[S_r^\lambda\right]\right)$, so $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective. □

For B_L we have

Lemma 7.5. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ and $\alpha \in S_r$, if b_k^λ is a block of size $\lambda_k > 1$ and $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_k^\lambda\right) = \emptyset$, then $f(\lambda,\alpha,\lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_L)$.*

Proof. If $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_k^\lambda\right) = \emptyset$, Let ν be the composition obtained by breaking block b_k^λ into λ_k blocks of size 1. Then $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$ and $\nu < \lambda$ (assuming $\lambda_k > 1$). By lemma 6.1, if we show $G_L(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq G_L(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$ then $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_L)$ and the proof is complete.

Take any $\pi \in G_L(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda)$ and a corresponding $\sigma \in G_\lambda$ such that $\alpha\pi = \sigma\alpha$. Define $\sigma' \in G_\lambda$ by $\sigma'(i) = \begin{cases} i \text{ if } i \in b_k^\lambda \\ \sigma(i) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$. Then $\sigma' \in G_\nu$. But since, for all j, $\alpha(j) \notin b_k^\lambda$, we have $\sigma'\alpha(j) = \sigma\alpha(j) = \alpha\pi(j)$ for all j. Then $\sigma'\alpha = \alpha\pi$ and $\pi \in G_L(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$. So $G_L(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq G_L(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$. □

Corollary 7.2. *Suppose $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \notin \ker(\Pi_L)$. Then for any block b_k^λ of size λ_k, α maps b_k^λ one to one onto a block b_j^λ of the same size $\lambda_j = \lambda_k$. Furthermore, for any block b_k^λ of size $\lambda_k > 1$, $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_k^\lambda\right) = b_j^\lambda$ for some block b_j^λ of the same size $\lambda_j = \lambda_k$.*

Proof. Use "downward induction": Assume for some size s that α maps all blocks of size $> s$ one to one onto blocks of the same size and that the inverse image of any such block is another block of the same size. Then consider a block b_j^λ of size $\lambda_j = s$. By our assumption, $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ can contain no element of a block of size greater than s. But by corollary 7.1, $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ can contain no element of a block of size less than s. So $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ contains only elements in blocks of size s. By corollary 7.1 again, if it contains any element of such a block b_k^λ, then α maps all of b_k^λ one to one onto b_j^λ. If $s > 1$ then by lemma 7.5, $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right) \neq \emptyset$, so $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ must be a union of one or more blocks of size s. But then since the sets $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ are disjoint for the different blocks b_j^λ of size $\lambda_j = s$, each $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ must consist of a single block and each block b_k^λ of size s must appear as $\alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$ for exactly one block b_j^λ of size $\lambda_j = s$. By induction, the result is true for all sizes s. □

Proposition 7.4. *If $D_\alpha \notin \left\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_{r,L}^\lambda\right\}$, then $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \in \ker(\Pi_L)$. The k-algebra homomorphism $\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right] \to C_L^\lambda$ is surjective.*

Proof. Take any $\alpha \in S_r$ with $f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) \notin \ker(\Pi_L)$. By corollary 7.2, for any $i > 0$ there is a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$ of the blocks b_k^λ of size $\lambda_k = p^i > 1$ such that α maps the elements of b_k^λ one to one onto the block $\sigma\left(b_k^\lambda\right)$ of the same size p^i. Also the blocks of size 1 are mapped by α to blocks of size one (or to zero). But then α is in the same $G_\lambda - G_\lambda$ double coset as some $\alpha' \in S_{r,L}^\lambda$, that is, $D_\alpha = D_{\alpha'}$ for $\alpha' \in S_{r,L}^\lambda$.

Since the projection $\Pi_L : B_L^\lambda \to C_L^\lambda$ is surjective and we have just shown that any basis element D_α for B_L^λ not in $\left\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_{r,L}^\lambda\right\}$ is in $\ker(\Pi_L)$, Π_L must map the k-span of $\left\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_{r,L}^\lambda\right\}$ onto C_L^λ. But the k-span of $\left\{D_{\alpha'} : \alpha' \in S_{r,L}^\lambda\right\}$ is exactly $\psi_{\lambda,L}\left(k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right]\right)$, so $\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right] \to C_L^\lambda$ is surjective. □

In the next section we will show that $\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S_{r,L}^\lambda\right] \to C_L^\lambda$ is actually an isomorphism of algebras, while in many cases $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R}$ restricted to an

appropriate subalgebra of $k\left[S_{r,R}^{\lambda}\right]$ is an algebra isomorphism onto C_R^{λ}. We can then index the irreducible representations of each C_X^{λ} and hence of B_X.

8 Irreducible representations of C_X^{λ}

We need some technical lemmas to help determine the kernel of Π_X. Work first with $X = L$. For any partition $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, we can regard $G_{\lambda_i} = \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_i}$ as a subgroup of $G_\lambda = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ by letting $\sigma \in G_{\lambda_i}$ act as the identity on all blocks b_j^λ, $j \neq i$, while $\sigma(a_k) = a_{\sigma(k)}$ if a_k represents the kth element in the block b_i^λ. Then G_λ is a direct product of disjoint subgroups $G_\lambda = \prod_i G_{\lambda_i}$. Let $\nu \in \Lambda$ be a composition and let $\alpha \in S_{r,L}^{\lambda}$. Put $G_{L,i}(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) = \{\pi \in G_{\lambda_i} : \exists \sigma \in G_\nu \text{ such that } \alpha\pi = \sigma\alpha\}$. This gives a family of disjoint subgroups of $G_L(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let B_1 be the union of all λ-blocks of size 1 and B_2 be the union of all λ-blocks of size greater than 1. Suppose $\alpha \in S_r$ restricted to B_2 is one to one and that $\alpha(B_1) \cap \alpha(B_2) = \emptyset$. Then $G_L(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) = \prod_i G_{L,i}(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$.*

Proof. Given $\pi \in G_L(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq G_\lambda$, we must write $\pi = \prod_i \pi_i$ where $\pi_i \in G_{L,i}(\nu, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq G_{\lambda_i}$. Define $\pi_i(j) = \begin{cases} \pi(j) \text{ if } j \in b_i^\lambda \\ j \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$. Then $\pi_i \in G_{\lambda_i}$ and $\pi = \prod_i \pi_i$. Take $\sigma \in G_\nu$ such that $\alpha\pi = \sigma\alpha$. By hypothesis, α maps b_i^λ one to one onto an image set S_i of the same size and if the size $\lambda_i > 1$ then $\alpha^{-1}(S_i) = b_i^\lambda$. We claim σ maps each image set S_i to itself: take any $k = \alpha(l) \in S_i$ where $l \in b_i^\lambda$. Then $\sigma(k) = \sigma\alpha(l) = \alpha\pi(l) \in S_i$ since $\pi \in G_\lambda \Rightarrow \pi(l) \in b_i^\lambda$. So we can define a permutation $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ by $\sigma_i(k) = \begin{cases} \sigma(k) \text{ if } k \in S_i \\ k \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$. σ_i is the identity outside S_i and therefore certainly maps any portion of a ν block outside S_i to itself. On the other hand, when restricted to S_i, $\sigma_i = \sigma \in G_\nu$, so it must map any portion of a ν block inside S_i to itself. So $\sigma_i \in G_\nu$. Then since $\alpha\pi_i = \sigma_i\alpha$, we have $\pi_i \in G_{L,i}(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$. When the size $\lambda_i = 1$, π_i is the identity map. If we take σ_i to also be the identity, then we again have $\alpha\pi_i = \sigma_i\alpha$ and $\pi_i \in G_{L,i}(\nu, \alpha, \lambda)$. □

Notice that in particular that any $\alpha \in S_{r,L}^{\lambda}$ satisfies the hypotheses of lemma 8.1. Also $G_L(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = G_\lambda$ and $G_{L,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda) = G_{\lambda_i}$ for $\alpha \in S_{r,L}^{\lambda}$.

Lemma 8.2. *If an element $x \in \ker(\Pi_L) \subseteq B_L^\lambda$ is expanded in terms of the double coset basis $\{f(\lambda, D, \lambda) : D \in {}_\lambda M_\lambda\}$ for B_L^λ, $x = \sum_{D_\alpha \in {}_\lambda M_\lambda} c_\alpha f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda)$, then the coefficient c_γ for any $f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda)$ with $\gamma \in S_{r,L}^{\lambda}$ will be 0.*

Proof. Since $x \in \ker(\Pi_L) \subseteq B_L^\lambda e_\lambda B_L^\lambda$, x will be a k linear combination of terms of the form $f(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) *_L f(\nu, \beta, \lambda)$ for some ν with $\nu < \lambda$. Then by the alternative form of the multiplication rule in section 3, $f(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) *_L f(\nu, \beta, \lambda) =$

$\sum_{D\in {}_\lambda M_\lambda} \frac{n_L(D)\ a_{L,D}}{n_L(\nu,\beta,\lambda)} f(\lambda, D, \lambda)$ for certain integers $a_{L,D} \in k$. Then the coefficient of any basis element $f(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)$ in the expansion of x will be a k linear combination of terms $\frac{n_L(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_L(\nu,\beta,\lambda)}$. We will show that $\frac{n_L(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_L(\nu,\beta,\lambda)} \equiv 0 \mod (p)$ whenever $\gamma \in S^\lambda_{r,L}$ and $a_{L,D_\gamma} \neq 0$. Then $c_\gamma = 0$ in k as desired.

If $a_{L,D_\gamma} \neq 0$, then $\alpha\rho\beta = \gamma$ for some $\rho \in G_\nu$. Then if $\gamma \in S^\lambda_{r,L}$, β restricted to B_2 will be one to one and $\beta(B_1) \cap \beta(B_2) = \emptyset$ where B_1, B_2 are as in lemma 8.1. Then by lemma 8.1 we have $G_L(\nu,\beta,\lambda) = \prod_i G_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)$. As remarked after lemma 8.1, we also have $G_L(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = G_\lambda$ and $G_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = G_{\lambda_i}$ since $\gamma \in S^\lambda_{r,L}$. Let $n_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = o(G_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)) = o(G_{\lambda_i})$ and $n_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda) = o(G_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda))$. Since $G_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)$ is a subgroup of $G_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = G_{\lambda_i}$, $n_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)$ divides $n_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)$. Then we can write $\frac{n_L(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_L(\nu,\beta,\lambda)} = \prod_i \frac{n_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)}$. If we can show $n_i \equiv \frac{n_{L,i}(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_{L,i}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)} \equiv 0 \mod (p)$ for at least one i, the proof will be complete.

Since β is one to one on B_2 and $\nu < \lambda$, there must be some λ-block b^λ_k of size $\lambda_k = p^t > 1$ and an element $i \in b^\lambda_k$ such that $\beta(i) \in b^\nu_j$ where the size $\nu_j < \lambda_k$. Let $A_1 = b^\lambda_k \cap \beta^{-1}\left(b^\nu_j\right)$, $A_2 = b^\lambda_k - A_1$, $a_i = \#A_i$. Then $1 \leqslant a_1 \leqslant \nu_j < \lambda_k = p^t$ and $a_1 + a_2 = \#b^\lambda_k = \lambda_k = p^t$. For any $\pi \in G_{L,k}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)$ we have $\pi\left(b^\lambda_k\right) = b^\lambda_k$. Also there exists $\sigma \in G_\nu$ such that $\sigma\beta = \beta\pi$. Then for any $i \in \beta^{-1}\left(b^\nu_j\right)$ we have $\beta\pi(i) = \sigma\beta(i) \in b^\nu_j$, so $\pi\left(\beta^{-1}\left(b^\nu_j\right)\right) = \beta^{-1}\left(b^\nu_j\right)$. Then $\pi(A_i) = A_i$, $i = 1, 2$. This means $G_{L,k}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)$ lies in a subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{A_i} * \mathfrak{S}_{A_2}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k}$ of order $a_1!\,a_2!$. Then we have $a_1!\,a_2! = o(G_{L,k}(\nu,\beta,\lambda)) \cdot d$ for some integer d. Also recall that $o(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k}) = \lambda_k! = p^t!$. Then compute $n_k = \frac{o\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k}\right)}{o(G_{L,k}(\nu,\beta,\lambda))} = \frac{p^t!}{a_1!a_2!/d} = d \cdot \frac{p^t!}{a_1!(p^t-a_1)!} = d \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p^t \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $0 < a_1 < p^t$, the binomial coefficient $\begin{pmatrix} p^t \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \bmod p$. So $n_k = 0 \bmod p$ as desired, and the proof of lemma 8.2 is complete. □

Proposition 8.1. *The map $\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S^\lambda_{r,L}\right] \to C^\lambda_L$ is an isomorphism of algebras. Thus as a k-algebra, C^λ_L is isomorphic to a tensor product of monoid algebras, $C^\lambda_L \cong k[S_0] \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i>0} (k[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}])\right)$, where S_0 is some submonoid of $\bar\tau_{s_0}$ containing $\mathfrak{S}_{s_0}$. For $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r, \tau_r, \Re_r, \bar\tau_r$ we have $S_0 = \mathfrak{S}_{s_0}, \tau_{s_0}, \Re_{s_0}, \bar\tau_{s_0}$ respectively.*

Proof. $\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S^\lambda_{r,L}\right] \to C^\lambda_L$ is surjective by proposition 7.4. Also $\psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S^\lambda_{r,L}\right] \to B^\lambda_L$ is an injective algebra homorphism by proposition 7.2. But by lemma 8.2, $\ker(\Pi_L) \cap image(\psi_{\lambda,L}) = \{0\}$, so $\ker(\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L}) = \{0\}$. Then $\Pi_L \circ \psi_{\lambda,L} : k\left[S^\lambda_{r,L}\right] \to C^\lambda_L$ is an isomorphism of algebras as claimed.

As a monoid, $S^\lambda_{r,L}$ is isomorphic to a product monoid $S_0 \cdot \prod_{i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$, with S_0 as described, so $C^\lambda_L \cong k\left[S^\lambda_{r,L}\right] \cong k[S_0] \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i>0} (k[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}])\right)$. □

As shown in [1], the (isomorphism classes of) irreducible representations of the tensor product $k[S_0] \otimes \left(\underset{i>0}{\otimes} (k[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}]) \right)$ correspond one to one with a choice of (classes of) irreducible representations of S_0 and each $\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$. The irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$ correspond to p-regular partitions of s_i, while the irreducible representations of S_0 correspond to p-regular partitions of l for certain integers $0 \leqslant l \leqslant s_0$. We then get the following "classification theorem" for the irreducible representations of B_L:

Theorem 8.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic p and assume $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$, τ_r or any monoid containing the rook monoid $\Re_r$. There is one isomorphim class of irreducible B_L-modules for each choice of the following data:*

1. a decomposition $r = \sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_i p^i$ for integers $s_i \geqslant 0$, and

2. a p-regular partition of s_i for each $s_i > 0$, $i > 0$, and

3. a p-regular partition of s_0 when $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$, or

an integer j with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_0$ and a p-regular partition of j when $S_r = \tau_r$, or

an integer j with $0 \leqslant j \leqslant s_0$ and a p-regular partition of j if $j > 0$ when S_r contains the rook monoid $\Re_r$.

Now turn to the case B_R. Given a partition $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, a composition $\nu \in \Lambda$ and any $\alpha \in S_r$, consider the subgroup $G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Let $G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) = G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_i}$. Then $\prod_i G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$ is a direct product of disjoint subgroups of $G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$. Corresponding to lemma 8.1, we have

Lemma 8.3. *For any $\alpha \in S_r$, $G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) = \prod_i G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$.*

Proof. Take any $\sigma \in G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ and write $\sigma = \prod \sigma_i$, $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_i}$, where $\sigma_i(j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(j) \text{ if } j \in b_i^\lambda \\ j \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$. We claim each $\sigma_i \in G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, and therefore $\sigma \in \prod_i G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$ proving the lemma. To see that $\sigma_i \in G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, let $A_j = \alpha^{-1}\left(b_j^\lambda\right)$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, r$, and $A_0 = \alpha^{-1}(0) - \{0\}$. Then A_j, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, gives a partition of $\{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ into disjoint subsets. Since $\sigma \in G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, there exists $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\nu$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi$. Then $x \in A_j \Rightarrow \alpha\pi(x) = \sigma\alpha(x) \in \sigma b_j^\lambda = b_j^\lambda \Rightarrow \pi(x) \in A_j$, so $\pi(A_j) = A_j$ for all j. For $j = 1, 2, \cdots, r$, define $\pi_j \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ by $\pi_j(x) = \begin{cases} \pi(x), x \in A_j \\ x, x \notin A_j \end{cases}$. Suppose x is in a particular ν-block b_l^ν. If $x \in A_j$, then $\pi_j(x) = \pi(x) \in b_l^\nu$ since $\pi \in G_\nu$. On the other hand, if $x \notin A_j$, then $\pi_j(x) = x \in b_l^\nu$. Since this is true for every ν-block b_l^ν, $\pi_j \in G_\nu$. If $x \in A_i$, then $\alpha(x) \in b_i^\lambda$, so $\sigma_i\alpha(x) = \sigma\alpha(x) = \alpha\pi(x) = \alpha\pi_i(x)$. On the other hand, if $x \notin A_i$, then $\alpha(x) \notin b_i^\lambda$ and $\sigma_i\alpha(x) = \alpha(x) = \alpha\pi_i(x)$. So we have $\sigma_i\alpha = \alpha\pi_i$ and $\sigma_i \in G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$ as desired. □

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$ has the following property: For any factorization $\gamma = \alpha\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in S_r$ and any composition $\nu < \lambda$, there exists an integer i such that the size ν_j of the ν-block b_j^ν containing i is less than the size λ_k of the λ-block b_k^λ containing $\alpha(i)$. Then if an element $x \in \ker(\Pi_R) \subseteq B_R^\lambda$ is*

expanded in terms of the double coset basis $\{f(\lambda, D, \lambda) : D \in {}_\lambda M_\lambda\}$ *for* B_R^λ, $x = \sum_{D_\alpha \in {}_\lambda M_\lambda} c_\alpha f(\lambda, \alpha, \lambda)$, *then the coefficient* c_γ *for any* $f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda)$ *with* $\gamma \in S_{r,L}^\lambda$ *will be 0.*

Proof. Since $x \in \ker(\Pi_R) \subseteq B_R^\lambda e_\lambda B_R^\lambda$, x will be a k linear combination of terms of the form $f(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) *_R f(\nu, \beta, \lambda)$ for some ν with $\nu < \lambda$. Then by the alternative form of the multiplication rule in section 3, $f(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) *_R f(\nu, \beta, \lambda) = \sum_{D \in {}_\lambda M_\lambda} \frac{n_R(D)\, a_{R,D}}{n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)} f(\lambda, D, \lambda)$ for certain integers $a_{R,D} \in k$. Then the coefficient of any basis element $f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda)$ in the expansion of x will be a k linear combination of terms $\frac{n_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)}$. We will show that $\frac{n_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)} \equiv 0 \mod(p)$ whenever $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$ satisfies the hypothesis in the lemma and $a_{R,D_\gamma} \neq 0$. Then $c_\gamma = 0$ in k for such γ and the lemma is proved.

Notice that for any $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$, $G_R(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) = G_\lambda = \prod_i G_{\lambda_i}$. By lemma 8.3, $G_R(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) = \prod_i G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$, where each $G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$ is a subgroup of G_{λ_i}. Write $n_i(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) = o(G_{R,i}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu))$, which must be a factor of $o(G_{\lambda_i}) = \lambda_i!$. Then $\frac{n_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_R(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)} = \prod_i \frac{o(G_{\lambda_i})}{n_i(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)}$. If we show that $n_i \equiv \frac{o(G_{\lambda_i})}{n_i(\lambda,\alpha,\nu)} \equiv 0 \mod(p)$ for at least one i, then $c_\gamma = 0$ and we are done.

If $a_{R,D_\gamma} \neq 0$ we can assume $\gamma = \alpha\rho\beta$ for some $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_\nu$. By our hypothesis, there will be an integer i in a block b_j^ν of size $\nu_j = p^s$ such that $\alpha(i) \in b_k^\lambda$ for some block b_k^λ of size $\lambda_k = p^t > p^s$. Let $A_1 = b_k^\lambda \cap \alpha(b_j^\nu)$, $A_2 = b_k^\lambda - A_1$, $a_i = \#A_i$. Then $1 \leqslant a_1 \leqslant p^s < p^t$ and $a_1 + a_2 = \#b_k^\lambda = \lambda_k = p^t$. For any $\sigma \in G_{R,k}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu) \subseteq G_\lambda$ we have $\sigma(b_k^\lambda) = b_k^\lambda$. Also, there exists $\pi \in G_\nu$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\pi$, so $\sigma(\alpha(b_j^\nu)) = \alpha\pi(b_j^\nu) = \alpha(b_j^\nu)$. Then $\sigma(A_i) = A_i$, $i = 1, 2$. This means $G_{R,k}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)$ lies in a subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{A_i} * \mathfrak{S}_{A_2}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k}$ of order $a_1!\, a_2!$. Then we have $a_1!\, a_2! = o(G_{R,k}(\lambda, \alpha, \nu)) \cdot d$ for some integer d. Also recall that $o(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k}) = \lambda_k! = p^t!$. Then compute $n_k = \frac{o(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_k})}{o(G_{R,k}(\lambda,\alpha,\nu))} = \frac{p^t!}{a_1!a_2!/d} = d \cdot \frac{p^t!}{a_1!(p^t-a_1)!} = d \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p^t \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $0 < a_1 < p^t$, the binomial coefficient $\begin{pmatrix} p^t \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \bmod p$. So $n_k = 0 \bmod p$ as desired, and the proof of lemma 8.4 is complete. □

We now consider several special cases of the monoid S_r.

The case $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$

The simplest case is when $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$ and $B_R = S_k(r, n)$, the standard Schur algebra over k. In this case every element of $S_r^\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_r$ must be one to one. So $\phi_\lambda^{-1}(S_r^\lambda) = \prod_{s_i > 0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$ and then (since ϕ_λ is injective) $S_r^\lambda = \phi_\lambda\left(\prod_{s_i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right)$.

Proposition 8.2. *For $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$, the map $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is an isomorphism of k-algebras. There is a k-algebra isomorphism $C_R^\lambda \cong \underset{s_i>0}{\otimes} \left(k\left[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right]\right)$.*

Proof. By proposition 7.2, $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective. We will show that any element $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$ satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 8.4, so $\ker(\Pi_R) \cap$ *image* $(\psi_{\lambda,R}) = \{0\}$. Since $\psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to B_R^\lambda$ is injective algebra homorphism by proposition 7.1, $\ker(\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R}) = \{0\}$. Then $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_{r,R}^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is an isomorphism of algebras as claimed.

Given any $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$, consider a factorization $\gamma = \alpha\beta\,,\ \alpha, \beta \in S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$ and any composition $\nu < \lambda$. Notice that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_r \Rightarrow \alpha$ is surjective. Since $\nu < \lambda$, there must be some length l such that λ, ν have the same number of rows of any length $> l$, while λ has more rows of length l than ν does. Thus there are more integers in λ-blocks of size $\geqslant l$ than in ν-blocks of size $\geqslant l$. Then since α is surjective, some element in a λ-block of size $\geqslant l$ must be the image under α of an element in a smaller ν-block of size $< l$. So γ does satisfy the hypothesis of lemma 8.4. □

As shown in [1], the (isomorphism classes of) irreducible representations of the tensor product $C_R^\lambda \cong \underset{s_i>0}{\otimes} \left(k\left[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right]\right)$ correspond one to one with a choice of (classes of) irreducible representations each $\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}$. This leads to the following

Classification Theorem for $B_R \cong S_k(r,n)$:
Let k be a field of positive characteristic p and let $S_r = \mathfrak{S}_r$. There is one isomorphism class of irreducible B_R -modules for each choice of the following data:

1. a decomposition $r = \sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_i p^i$ for integers $s_i \geqslant 0$ and
2. a p-regular partition of s_i for each $s_i > 0$.

Evidently $s_i = 0$ for all but a finite number of i. The usual classification theorem for the Schur algebra matches irreducible modules with arbitrary partitions of r. It is a pleasant combinatorial exercise to match arbitrary partitions of r with choices of data as in 1. and 2. above.

The case $S_r = \tau_r$.

Let λ be a p-partition and let m and M be the smallest and largest integers such that $s_i \neq 0$. Then $r = \sum_{i=m}^{M} s_i p^i$ and $S_r^\lambda = \phi_\lambda\left(\prod_{i=m}^{M} \tau_{s_i}\right)$. Let $S' \subseteq S_r^\lambda$ be the subsemigroup $S' \equiv \phi_\lambda\left(\tau_{s_m} \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{M} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right)$.

Lemma 8.5. *$\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective.*

Proof. By proposition 7.2, $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective. Take $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda - S'$. We will show that $f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) = \psi_{\lambda,R}(\gamma) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$. Then $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} :$

$k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective as claimed. If $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda - S'$, then there must be some $k > m$ and a λ-block b_i^λ of size $\lambda_i = p^k > p^m$ such that $image(\gamma) \cap b_i^\lambda = \emptyset$. Let ν be the composition obtained from λ by replacing the λ-block b_i^λ by p^{k-m} ν-blocks of size p^m. Then $\nu < \lambda$ and $\mathfrak{S}_\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Define $\beta \in \tau_r$ by letting $\beta(j) = j$ for all integers j outside of the λ-block b_i^λ, while β maps each of the new ν-blocks one to one onto a λ-block of size p^m. From the construction, we have $\beta\gamma = \gamma$ and it is easy to check that $G_R(\lambda, \beta, \nu) = G_\lambda$ so $n_R(\lambda, \beta, \nu) = o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$. Since $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$, we have $G_R(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) = G_\lambda$ and since $\mathfrak{S}_\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$, we have $G_R(\nu, \gamma, \lambda) = G_R(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) \cap G_\nu = G_\nu$. So $n_R(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) = o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$ and $n_R(\nu, \gamma, \lambda) = o(\mathfrak{S}_\nu)$. Finally, for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_\nu$ there is a $\pi \in G_\lambda$ such that $\rho\gamma = \gamma\pi$. Then $\beta\rho\gamma = \beta\gamma\pi = \gamma\pi \in D_\gamma$. So $N(D_\beta, D_\gamma, D) = o(G_\nu)$ if $D = D_\gamma$ and is 0 otherwise. The multiplication rule then gives:

$$f(\lambda, \beta, \nu) *_R f(\nu, \gamma, \lambda) = \frac{o(G_\nu) \cdot n_R(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda)}{n_R(\lambda, \beta, \nu) n_R(\nu, \gamma, \lambda)} \cdot f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda)$$

$$= \frac{o(G_\nu) \cdot o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu) \cdot o(G_\lambda)} \cdot f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) = f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda).$$

So $f(\lambda, \gamma, \lambda) = \psi_{\lambda,R}(\gamma) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$ as claimed. □

Lemma 8.6. *$\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is injective.*

Proof. We will show that any element $\gamma \in S'$ satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 8.4, so $\ker(\Pi_R) \cap image(\psi_{\lambda,R} | k[S']) = \{0\}$. Since $\psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to B_R^\lambda$ is an injective algebra homorphism by proposition 7.1, $\ker(\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} | k[S']) = \{0\}$ and $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is injective.

Take any $\gamma \in S' \subseteq S_r^\lambda$, any factorization $\gamma = \alpha\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \tau_r$, and any composition $\nu < \lambda$. If there is any ν-block of size less than the smallest λ-block size p^m, then for any i in such a ν-block, $\alpha(i)$ must lie in a larger size λ-block, so the hypothesis of lemma 8.4 is satisfied. If all ν-blocks have size $\geqslant p^m$, then $\nu < \lambda$ implies there exists an integer $k > p^m$ such that $L(\nu, i) = L(\lambda, i)$ for $i > k$, while $L(\nu, k) < L(\lambda, k)$. So there are more integers in λ-blocks of size $\geqslant k > p^m$ than in ν-blocks of size $\geqslant k$. However, for $\gamma \in S'$, any integer in a λ-block of size $> p^m$ is in $image(\gamma)$ and therefore also in $image(\alpha)$. It follows that there must be some integer i in a ν-block of size $< k$ such that $\alpha(i)$ is in a λ-block of size $\geqslant k$. So the hypothesis of lemma 8.4 is again satisfied and the proof is complete. □

Combining lemmas 8.5 and 8.6 gives

Proposition 8.3. *$\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is a isomorphism of k-algebras. There are isomorphisms of k-algebras $C_R^\lambda \cong k[S'] \cong k\left[\tau_{s_m} \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{M} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right] \cong k[\tau_{s_m}] \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=m+1}^{M} k[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}]\right)$.*

So irreducible B_R-modules at level λ correspond to irreducible C_R^λ-modules, which correspond to a choice of an irreducible $k[\tau_{s_m}]$ module and irreducible $k[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}]$ modules for each $m+1 \leqslant i \leqslant M$. These in turn are classified by a p-regular partition of j for some $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_m$ and p-regular partitions of s_i for each $s_i > 0\,,\, i > m$. We can now state the

Classification Theorem for $B_R\,,\, S_r = \tau_r$:

Let k be a field of positive characteristic p and $S_r = \tau_r$. There is one isomorphism class of irreducible B_R-modules for each choice of the following data:

1. a decomposition $r = \sum_{i \geqslant m} s_i p^i$ for integers $s_i \geqslant 0\,,\, s_m > 0$ and
2. a p-regular partition of s_i for each $s_i > 0\,,\, i > m$ and
3. an integer j with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_m$ and
4. a p-regular partition of j.

An irreducible B-module corresponding to such data will be at level λ where λ is the partition with s_i blocks of size p^i and will have index $\bar{i} = \sum_{i>m} s_i p^i + jp^m$.

Notice that for $p < r$ we must have $s_i = 0\,, \forall i > 0$, so we have $C_R^\lambda = \begin{cases} k[\tau_r]\,,\, \lambda = \bar{\nu} \\ 0\,, \quad \lambda > \bar{\nu} \end{cases}$. So irreducible B-modules correspond to irreducible $k[\tau_r]$ modules as shown in [3].

The case $S_r \supseteq \Re_r$

In this section we will assume that $S_r \supseteq \Re_r$ (the rook algebra) and that k is a field of positive characteristic p. For example, we could have $S_r = \Re_r$ or $S_r = \bar{\tau}_r$. Our analysis will follow the pattern for the case $S_r = \tau_r$ given above. Let λ be a p-partition. Let $S' \subseteq S_r^\lambda$ be the subsemigroup $S' \equiv \phi_\lambda\left(\bar{\tau}_{s_0} \cdot \prod_{i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right) \cap S_r^\lambda = \phi_\lambda\left(S_0 \cdot \prod_{i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right)$ where S_0 is a semigroup with $\bar{\tau}_{s_0} \supseteq S_0 \supseteq \Re_{s_0}$. (When $S_r = \Re_r$ we have $S_0 = \Re_{s_0}$; when $S_r = \bar{\tau}_r$ we have $S_0 = \bar{\tau}_{s_0}$.)

Lemma 8.7. *$\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective.*

Proof. By proposition 7.2, $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k\left[S_r^\lambda\right] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective. Take $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda - S'$. We will show that $f(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = \psi_{\lambda,R}(\gamma) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$. Then $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is surjective as claimed. If $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda - S'$, then there must be some $k > 0$ and a λ-block b_i^λ of size $\lambda_i = p^k > 1$ such that $image(\gamma) \cap b_i^\lambda = \emptyset$. Let ν be the composition obtained from λ by replacing the λ-block b_i^λ by p^k ν-blocks of size 1. Then $\nu < \lambda$ and $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$. Define $\beta \in \Re_r \subseteq S_r$ by letting $\beta(j) = j$ for all integers j outside of the λ-block b_i^λ, while β maps each of the new ν-blocks to 0. From the construction, we have $\beta\gamma = \gamma$ and it is easy to check that $G_R(\lambda,\beta,\nu) = G_\lambda$ so $n_R(\lambda,\beta,\nu) = o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$. Since $\gamma \in S_r^\lambda$, we have $G_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = G_\lambda$ and since $G_\nu \subseteq G_\lambda$, we have $G_R(\nu,\gamma,\lambda) = G_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) \cap G_\nu =$

G_ν. So $n_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = o(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$ and $n_R(\nu,\gamma,\lambda) = o(\mathfrak{S}_\nu)$. Finally, for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_\nu$ there is a $\pi \in G_\lambda$ such that $\rho\gamma = \gamma\pi$. Then $\beta\rho\gamma = \beta\gamma\pi = \gamma\pi \in D_\gamma$. So $N(D_\beta, D_\gamma, D) = o(G_\nu)$ if $D = D_\gamma$ and is 0 otherwise. The multiplication rule then gives:

$$f(\lambda,\beta,\nu) *_R f(\nu,\gamma,\lambda) = \frac{o(G_\nu)\cdot n_R(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)}{n_R(\lambda,\beta,\nu) n_R(\nu,\gamma,\lambda)} \cdot f(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{o(G_\nu)\cdot o(G_\lambda)}{o(G_\nu)\cdot o(G_\lambda)} \cdot f(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = f(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda).$$

So $f(\lambda,\gamma,\lambda) = \psi_{\lambda,R}(\gamma) \in \ker(\Pi_R)$ as claimed. □

Lemma 8.8. *$\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is injective.*

Proof. We will show that any element $\gamma \in S'$ satisfies the hypothesis of lemma 8.4, so $\ker(\Pi_R) \cap image(\psi_{\lambda,R}|k[S']) = \{0\}$. Since $\psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to B_R^\lambda$ is an injective algebra homorphism by proposition 7.1, $\ker(\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R}|k[S']) = \{0\}$ and $\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is injective.

Take any $\gamma \in S' \subseteq S_r^\lambda$, any factorization $\gamma = \alpha\beta$, $\alpha,\beta \in \tau_r$, and any composition $\nu < \lambda$. Since $\nu < \lambda$, there exists an integer $k > 1$ such that $L(\nu,i) = L(\lambda,i)$ for $i > k$, while $L(\nu,k) < L(\lambda,k)$. So there are more integers in λ-blocks of size $\geqslant k > 1$ than in ν-blocks of size $\geqslant k$. However, for $\gamma \in S'$, any integer in a λ-block of size > 1 is in $image(\gamma)$ and therefore also in $image(\alpha)$. It follows that there must be some integer i in a ν-block of size $< k$ such that $\alpha(i)$ is in a λ-block of size $\geqslant k$. So the hypothesis of lemma 8.4 is again satisfied and the proof is complete. □

Combining lemmas 8.7 and 8.8 gives

Proposition 8.4. *$\Pi_R \circ \psi_{\lambda,R} : k[S'] \to C_R^\lambda$ is a isomorphism of k-algebras. There are isomorphisms of k-algebras $C_R^\lambda \cong k[S'] \cong k\left[S_0 \cdot \prod_{i>0} \mathfrak{S}_{s_i}\right] \cong k[S_0] \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i>0} k[\mathfrak{S}_{s_i}]\right)$.*

Since $\bar{\tau}_{s_0} \supseteq S_0 \supseteq \Re_{s_0}$, the irreducible representations of $k[S_0]$ correspond to irreducible $k[\mathfrak{S}_j]$ modules for some index $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s_0$ or to the trivial one dimensional representation of $k[\Re_{s_0}]$ of index 0. So as for the $S_r = \tau_r$ case we have the

Classification Theorem for B_R when $S_r \supseteq \Re_r$:

Assume $S_r \supseteq \Re_r$ and let k be a field of positive characteristic p. There is one isomorphism class of irreducible B_R -modules for each choice of the following data:

1. a decomposition $r = \sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_i p^i$ for integers $s_i \geqslant 0$ and
2. a p-regular partition of s_i for each $s_i > 0$, $i > 0$ and
3. an integer j with $0 \leqslant j \leqslant s_0$ and

4. a p-regular partition of j if $j > 0$.

An irreducible B-module corresponding to such data will be at level λ where λ is the partition with s_i blocks of size p^i and will have index $\bar{i} = \sum_{i>0} s_i p^i + j$.

References

[1] R. May, Representations of certain generalized Schur algebras, J. Algebra 333(2011)180-201.

[2] R. May, Double coset algebras, J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 218(2014)2081-2095

[3] R. May, W. Abrams, A generalization of the Schur algebra to $k[\tau_r]$, J. Algebra 295(2006)524 - 542.